

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	, TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/679,308 10/06/2000		Seiji Nonaka	2000 1402	9619	
:	7590 07/13/	003			
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP Suite 800 2033 K Street NW			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MERCADO, JULIAN A		
Washington, D	OC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745	21	
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	·	AS-5
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/679,308	NONAKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Julian A. Mercado	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	0402	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Ju</u>	•	
,	s action is non-final.	proceedation as to the marits is
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,3-23,59-79,104 and 121-123 is/are	pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-23,59-79 and 104	is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 3-10, 121-123</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		roved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	iminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	. •	
Certified copies of the priority documents		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	• •	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/679,308

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

This Office Action is responsive to applicant's "Response After Final Rejection" filed June 18, 2003.

Claims 1, 3-23, 59-79, 104 and 121-123 are pending, of which claims 11-23, 59-79 and 104 are withdrawn from consideration.

The rejection of claims 1, 3-8, 10 and 121-123 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), and claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), based on Okamura et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,191,935 B1) has been withdrawn.

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

This Office Action presents a new ground of rejection and is therefore made NON-FINAL.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/679,308

Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1, 3-10 and 121 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 5-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,493,210 B2 to Nonaka et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Nonaka et al. similarly recites an electric double-layer capacitor having an electrode metal material of a carbon-containing metal material comprising a valve metal material, with carbon particles of the instant diameter range fixed in the valve metal surface and exposed to said surface.

Claims 122 and 123 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2 and 5-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,493,210 B2 to Nonaka et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,633,373 to Phillips. While Nonaka et al. do not explicitly recite a valve metal material such as aluminum or tantalum, Phillips teaches these valve metals as "typical examples" of that which is used in valve metal capacitors. The skilled artisan would find obvious to employ a valve metal such as aluminum or tantalum within Nonaka's invention for reasons such as employing a metal which allows for high voltage transmittance. (col. 2 line 31-51, col. 3 line 44-55)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julian A. Mercado whose telephone number is (703) 305-0511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/679,308

Art Unit: 1745

Page 4

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

jam

July 8, 2003

CAROL CHANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER